

Sullivan Express.

JAMES D. MOODY,
Editor & Proprietor.

SULLIVAN,
Published weekly.

Published by James D. Moody, at the Express Office, Sullivan, Mo., on Wednesday, Feb. 12, 1858.

Subscription price, \$1.50 per annum in advance.

Advertisements, per line, 10 cents per week.

Agents: J. P. Crawford, Lovington, Mo.; J. T. Hines, Marrowbone, Mo.; M. N. Van Fleet, Sullivan, Mo.

All of our subscribers who have not paid for the "Express" can have the last issue of the 20th No., up to March 1st, to pay for the paper at the advance rates, which is \$1.50. After that time we will be under the necessity of charging them \$2.00. This we do owing to the tightness of the times, but all who do not pay by that time, will, most assuredly, have to pay \$2.00. We mean what we say this time.

The Exhibition of the Sullivan Academy.

This Literary Institution held its semi-annual examination on the 4th and 5th days of the present month, and had public exhibition on each evening of the examination. The examination was attended in person, on the last day, and were well pleased at the recitations in the various branches, and would say that the performance of the school room did credit to both teacher and scholar; and the praise of the patrons of the school is due to Mr. Smith and his competent Assistant, Mr. Branson, for the very creditable manner in which they have taught the young idea how to shoot.

We had the pleasure of attending the exhibition both evenings, though sentimentally and experimentally connected with schools, (for reasons that we need not now mention), we regarded it as our duty, as a journalist, accessible to all educational enterprises, to lend our time to a survey of this public display of intellectual power.

During the first evening we were well pleased with the manner in which every scholar acquitted themselves in the various stations assigned them. Our distance from the stage prevented our hearing all the pieces. Without wishing to make any invidious distinctions, our attention was directed to the declamation of a little boy, who, we learn, is a son of Mr. N. Farlow of our town.

His piece was "London, by Campbell." If the little fellow had poured a little more of the poet's fire into his style, his performance would have been inimitable, considering his age.

We would suggest, without indulging in a criticism of taste, that too many of the scholars had pieces of poetry for recitation—poetry being much more difficult to declaim properly, than prose.

On the last evening of exhibition the house was so densely crowded as to render it uncomfortable, in consequence of which, the efforts of the actors could not be appreciated as they should have been. As far as we could discern, however, everything passed off well, except there was at one time a little disorder, that seemed to disturb the unanimity of the Principal, namely, by this was soon dispelled, and the scene closed in good order, leaving every one to draw his own conclusions as to the effect produced on the minds of the people of Sullivan and vicinity.

Mr. Yankie will give a Mental or Self-Electrifying exhibition at the Sons' Hall on this evening, at half past 6 o'clock P. M.

We hope there will be a good turnout to hear him, as there is only one about every town that can be thoroughly electrified.

Derp ip ligo-urode

A Free Fight.

Last Saturday evening the inhabitants of our town were thrown into a high state of excitement by the conduct of a crowd who came from Sevier County for the express purpose of raising a row with some of the people of Sullivan. The names of those persons as we have been informed are Odam, Little, and Tipton.

As the dinner table at Mr. Joseph Thomason's was one of them was overheard to say that their time would come off after a little. These ruffians were armed to the teeth with Bowie Knives and other deadly weapons which they designed using on any person with whom they could pick up a quarrel. In the afternoon groups of persons were assembled together in and about the grocery on sod corn row. The most profane swearing that could be uttered seemed to be the vocabulary of these graceless scamps, several hostile demonstrations were made by these ruffians through the day, but nothing serious occurred until about seven o'clock A. M. During the evening they endeavored to impose on every person they possibly could at the grocery in the most insulting manner. Threats of violence and personal abuse characterized their conduct through the day against every one who did not belong to their party. Thus they went on unchecked in their career of rowdiness up to the time already alluded to. They followed B. B. Pettichord from the grocery to the tavern when a scene almost indescribable followed in the bar room. Coats were thrown off and bowie knives gleamed their frightful blades as they were brandished backwards and forwards by these law breaking scoundrels. We understand that Pettichord knocked one of them down in self-defense and had he failed to do so we have little doubt but that the assassin would have taken his life, were it not for the timely interference of the citizens and the inmates of the tavern an awful murder would have been committed in our midst, as savage and bent on the work of death was one of them that he followed Mr. N. W. Elder with an uplifted chair from the bar room into the adjoining apartment from Branson to the dining room where Mr. Elder was fortunately enough to slide his pursuit. In the bar room they attacked every one who endeavored to restore peace so intent were they on the work of death. It was with much difficulty that they could be got out of the house as they wished to imbue their hands in the blood of some person before they left. Fortunately nothing of a serious character occurred during the affray with the exception of Odam who was knocked down and B. B. Pettichord had one of his thumbs severely cut with a bowie-knife. After peace was somewhat restored, a writ was not out for the arrest of the rascals, but they took the hint and escaped save one, who, we were informed, was recognized to court under the penalty of \$1.00 to answer at the Circuit court for his disorderly conduct and rioting.

G. W. Lynn is still taking some of the rarest specimens of Dagner's types at the Court Hall in this place, that we have had the pleasure of seeing for so long many days.

We can unhesitatingly recommend him to those who desire to secure good miniatures of themselves or friends as an excellent artist and a clever gentleman worthy of the patronage of a generous and an appreciating community.

Let every one get a picture taken, for it is rumored that George takes handsome ones whether the subject is so or not. Our best suggestion the proper one is to take a picture at our risk.

George will remain but two or three weeks longer, so be in a hurry, as all is lost.

Time.

Time in its rapid flight is swiftly passing onward and the earthly career of the present generation will be long, by the revolution of time brought to a close. How important is time and yet how few think of that importance which is necessary for the proper fulfillment of that destiny for which they were created; seemingly unimportant of its flight, and the value it is passing moments, they tread along the path of life as though the small and uncertain portion of time allotted them would never expire. How many there are who are constantly devising means by which to cheat (as they say) the dull monotony of life. This may be quite necessary in some cases, in others it is not. The leisure time of every individual should be usefully employed in some way or other.

Reading is a useful and entertaining source of amusement, it improves the mind, and you cannot better employ a portion of your leisure than in the pursuit of knowledge. Caution is necessary however in the selection of proper books, many books tend to mislead the imagination and give rise to a chimerical and unattainable expectations.

In conclusion we would say, read good books subscribe for the Express, and improve your leisure time.

WILLIAM FARLOW, our right year, was the best declaimer at the School Exhibition, on Thursday and Friday night of last week.

Notice is hereby given to the voters of the town of Sullivan, Missouri county, Missouri, that there will be an election held at the Court House on Monday the 22nd day of February, 1858, at 10 o'clock A. M. for the purpose of deciding by vote, whether the town of Sullivan shall be incorporated or not.

MANY CITIZENS.

Mr. Editor: You will see by the enclosed that the Post Office Department has advertised that they will receive bids for a tri-weekly mail from Matton to Deatur by way of Sullivan; the bids must be at the contract office in the city of Washington before three o'clock P. M. on March 31st 1858.

And now if some energetic citizen will take hold and put a line of coaches on this route, he can get the mail contract and make money by carrying passengers. It is useless for me to possess the advantages that will flow to our town from such an arrangement, they are obvious to every one. Will not some of our citizens take hold of the matter, and secure for himself and us the benefits of the contract?

Proposals will be received at the Contract office of this Department until 3 P. M. of Wednesday, March 31, 1858, for conveying the mails of the United States for four years commencing July 1st, 1858, and ending June 30, 1862, in the State of ILLINOIS. Below we give a schedule of our mail route from Matton to Deatur.

From Matton, by Sullivan, Lovington, Hopewell, to Deatur, three times a week, on Monday, Wednesday, and Friday at 5 A. M. Arrive at Deatur by 7 P. M. Leave Deatur Tuesday, Thursday, and Saturday at 5 A. M. Arrive at Matton by 7 P. M.

Let a county temperance society be organized, as follows: the manufacture of the best soap ever used for cleaning a dirty man's face. We have tried it, therefore we know.

Temperance.

Mr. Editor: Is the liquor question seems to be the topic of consideration at this time, permit me to drop a few lines on the subject. I would like to see the people of Missouri county, and of Sullivan in particular, if the Ladies of Lovington have committed an unpardonable sin, that they denounce such sinners against them! Let us investigate the matter, if they have done more than has been done in other States, or other towns in our own State. We answer no. But their motives were not to bring their names before the public, no, they had higher motives than this; they wished to rescue their neighbors, their husbands, and sons from the drunkards grave. Did you ever see the mothers of that place frequent the dramshop, till the son, thinking that what father does is right, and he did call for his dram day after day, till he became an inebriate, and when reproved by a heart-broken mother, cursed her to her grave. Could you imagine us if we, sober, industrious, moral young men from other States, locating at that place to be so unfeeling, drawn irresistibly into this vortex of iniquity, you would never condemn the ladies for trying to banish a war the place, but would, with your united voices, cheer them in their noble enterprise. Respectful citizens, let me tell you that for an inebriate, the man's sake when you condemn the course of these ladies. Let me prove it.

They have, in by gone days, blasphemed the name of God, and devised means to furnish the intoxicating cup from their midst, but all to no purpose; their prayers and tears have not been answered, and King Babelus reigned indomitable.

Did you know that they have transgressed the law of God, for in this instance they did not wish to bring into the city, but wanted to keep it there, and being encouraged by them and all the respectable citizens of this community, they purchased the liquor, and placed it on the ground, instead of putting it down their throats, and creating a nuisance, and thereby making a den of them.

Then they publicly raised every man against bringing alcoholic liquors to their town, informing them that would share the same fate without any remission. But the scoundrels who have long ruled the town, were determined not to be killed, as they came in hot haste to the quiet town of Sullivan and procured more liquor, and the bye-standers on the man that let them have it, and we would ask, has he a wife or children, who he would risk to be hanged, if we hope not, but to one story; these men, under the cloak of the law, would dispose of their liquor by the gallon, but mark the scene of action; they drew into jail containing two, three, or four chairs, but no matter they had a gallon more or less, and the public street was their place of rendezvous. I need not enlarge, but merely state that a promised deposit of the Christian church was drawn into their churches and paraded with them. What would the ladies do but to hold their protest by taking the liquor into the streets, and if you condemn them you verify my statement, viz: all seats the church's name. But, says one, man has a right to trade and traffic, he pleases, provided he does not violate the law, and he thinks these ladies ought to be brought to justice for a violation of the law, but to me all this sounds like a woman's quarrel, and a quarrel which violates the law, or at least a man who sells the old liquor without license, but that would be a different matter, and would be a different case, and I would not be in a hurry to apprehending that I have tried it, therefore we know.

U. S. DISTRICT COURT.

Washington, February 9. Dr. Bernhisel, the Delegate from Utah has been conversing long intervals with the Hon. J. D. Matthews, the Attorney General, in relation to the Territory. He proposed that a commission be dispatched to the Territory to arrange for the settlement of the difficulties.

The President rejected rather than received the proposition which Mr. Bernhisel made, in virtue of his power as a Delegate of Utah, and did not pursue to instructions from Governor Young. The Deuts says that the people are disposed to peace, and would come to any reasonable terms of accommodation.

The Douglas Democrats are in council to-night to consider what is their best course of policy on the Kansas question.

The Republican have held several caucuses, and are fully agreed to resist by all parliamentary means the admission of Kansas under the Le-compton Constitution.

All parties are considering their strength for the struggle.

There are a few separate Indian delegations now in this city on business with the Government.

The Senate in Executive Session is to-day continuing the execution of the Second Comptroller of the Treasury and George Nash, ex-Congressman of New Jersey, to be sent to Glasgow, New Orleans, Feb. 2.

Gen. Walker appeared before the United States Circuit Court, this morning, but Judge McCaleb said that nothing could be done against him, the General appearing refused to find a bill in his case, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not to be put on trial for violation of the neutrality laws, but the Judge refused to grant his request, and the District Attorney said that no proceedings could be entered against him, unless new instructions were received from Washington, and he was not

